

PII: S0960-894X(97)00133-9

AMIDE BOND SURROGATES: A STUDY IN THIOPHENESULFONAMIDE BASED ENDOTHELIN RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS¹

B. Raju,** Ilya Okun, Fiona Stavros,* and Ming Fai Chan ImmunoPharmaceutics Inc. (A Wholly-owned Subsidiary of Texas Biotechnology Corp.), 11011 Via Frontera, San Diego, CA 92127, U.S.A.

Abstract: The potential proteolytic instability of the amide bond present in some ET_A selective thiophenesulfonamide endothelin antagonists exemplified by TBC-10708 led us to investigate the replacement of this moiety with stable amide bond surrogates such as a *trans* double bond and an ethylene spacer. The effect of these replacements on the binding affinity is described. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

The family of bicyclic polypeptides, which includes the endothelins² (ETs) and sarafotoxins,³ has been implicated in a variety of diseases such as congestive heart failure, hypertension, angina, acute renal failure, myocardial ischaemia, cyclosporin induced renal toxicity, pulmonary diseases, and other endothelin mediated disorders.⁴ The pharmacological actions of ETs and sarafotoxins are mediated by two distinct subtype endothelin receptors, namely ET_A and ET_B.⁵ Hence, an aggressive research effort has been focused by various research groups to develop endothelin receptor antagonists,⁶ which are useful tools in elucidating the pharmacological roles of the endothelins and their clinical utility will become clear as these antagonists progress through clinical evaluations.

The high affinity ET_A selective endothelin antagonists 1a and 1b discovered in this laboratory^{6b,c} showed negligible in vivo efficacy. This was attributed to the proteolytic susceptibility of the ester or amide bonds present in 1a and 1b, which led us to investigate the replacement of these moieties with stable surrogates. One such replacement of the amide or ester functionality with an aryloxymethyl group resulted in substantial loss of ET_A potency (1c vs. 1a and 1c vs. 1b). Based on these results, we proposed that the carbonyl group in 1a and 1b may impose a conformational preference for the spatial display of the phenyl ring for optimum binding and/or the carbonyl group may have favorable interaction with receptor elements. In order to address the conformational issues, the amide or ester bond was replaced with a constrained *trans* double bond and a

940 B. Raju *et al.*

flexible single bond, for comparative studies. This communication describes the effect of such replacements on the binding affinity of the resultant ligands.

The sulfonyl chlorides required for the synthesis of thiophenesulfonamides 2-5 (Figure 1) were derived from the commercially available thiophene derivatives 7 and 9, as briefly summarized in Scheme I. The phosphonates 11 and 15 (Scheme II), obtained by reacting their respective bromothiophenes 6d 10 and 14 with triethylphosphite, were condensed with substituted benzaldehydes to afford thiophenes 12a-f and 16a-e, respectively. Treatment of the thiophenes 12a-f with *n*-BuLi, to effect the lithium-bromine exchange, followed by quenching of the anions with sulfur dioxide and oxidation of the resultant sulphinates with NCS gave the desired sulfonyl chlorides 13a-f. Catalytic hydrogenation of the double bond in derivatives 16a-e gave the saturated analogs 18a-e. Removal of the pyrrole protecting group 7 in 16a, b, d, e, and 18a-e by basic hydrolysis followed by reacting the resultant sulfonates with POCl₃ and PCl₅ mixture gave the desired sulfonyl chlorides 17a, b, d, e, and 19a-e, respectively.

Scheme II

Reagents: (a) P(OEt)₃, 140 °C; (b) NaH, ArCHO, THF, rt; (c) *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C; then SO₂; then NCS; (d) KOH or NaOH, EtOH, H₂O, reflux; POCl₃, PCl₅, rt; (e) 10 % Pd-C, EtOAc, 60 psi H₂, 2 days.

19a-e

18a-e

An alternative approach to prepare the 2-cinnamylthiophene-3-sulfonamide 23 is described in the Scheme III in which isoxazoleamine moiety is introduced before the cinnamyl group. The bromomethyl derivative^{6d} 20 was reacted with triethylphosphite to provide the phosphonate 21, which was condensed with mesitaldehyde to afford the 2-cinnamylthiophenesulfonamide 22. Removal of the MEM protecting group by acidic hydrolysis gave the desired sulfonamide 23.

Scheme III

Reagents: (a) P(OEt)₃, 140 °C; (b) NaH, ArCHO, THF, rt; (c) 10 % Pd-C, EtOAc, 60 psi H₂, 2 days; (d) 1.1 equiv. NBS, AcOH:CHCl₃, rt; (e) *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C; then SO₂; then NCS; (f) 1 equiv. ClSO₃H, CH₂Cl₂, -5 °C; then POCl₃, PCl₅, rt.

32d (7%)

31d (60%)

The synthesis of the 3-arylethylthiophene-2-sulfonyl chlorides are outlined in the Scheme IV. Benzyl bromides 26a and 26d were reacted with triethylphosphite to provide phosphonates 27a and 27d. These phosphonates were condensed with thiophene-3-carboxaldehyde to get unsaturated analogs 28a and 28d. Alternatively, 3-bromomethylthiophene 6d 24 was converted to the corresponding phosphonate 25, which was condensed with substituted benzaldehydes to get unsaturated derivatives 28b, 28c, and 28e. Catalytic hydrogenation of the double bond in 28a-e gave the respective saturated analogs 29a-e. These intermediates 29a-e were transformed to the desired sulfonyl chlorides by a regioselective introduction of the sulfonyl group

942 B. Raju *et al.*

at the 2-position. Thus, the analog **29a** was treated with 1.1 equivalent of chlorosulfonic acid at -5 °C followed by treatment of sulfonic acid with POCl₃ and PCl₅ mixture gave the sulfonyl chloride **31a** as a major product and the regioisomer **32a** as a minor product (6:1 ratio). On the other hand, under similar reaction conditions the 3-(2,4-diemthylphenethyl)thiophene **29b** gave the desired sulfonyl chloride **31b** as a minor product and bis-sulfonyl chloride **32b** as a major product. A slightly different methodology was adopted to circumvent this problem in the synthesis of the sulfonyl chlorides **32c-e**. Thiophenes **29c-e** were brominated at the 2-position using NBS under acidic conditions. The bromides **30c-e** were transformed to the sulfonyl chlorides as described earlier (Scheme II, step c). The formation of the bis-sulfonyl chloride **32d** was presumed to be due to the bromination of the electron rich aromatic ring, which was converted to the sulfonyl chloride functionality in the next step. The sulfonyl chlorides were purified by column chromatography to get individual isomers, except **31a** and **32a**, which were used as a mixture in the next step.

The sulfonyl chlorides 13a-f, 17a, b, d, e, 19a-e, and 31a-e were treated with 5-amino-4-bromo-3-methylisoxazole^{6e} under basic conditions to afford the desired sulfonamides⁹ 33a-f, 34a, b, d, e, 35a-e, and 36a-e (Scheme V). Purification of the crude sulfonamide 33f by preparative HPLC using a C18 cloumn and water/acetonitrile solvent gave 33f and 33g (1:1 ratio), in which the THP protecting group was removed.

Scheme IV

13a-f
$$\xrightarrow{a}$$
 \xrightarrow{S} \xrightarrow{NH} \xrightarrow{R} 17a, b, d, e \xrightarrow{a} $\xrightarrow{3}$ $\xrightarrow{3}$ $\xrightarrow{3}$ $\xrightarrow{3}$ $\xrightarrow{3}$ \xrightarrow{Ar} 31a-e $\xrightarrow{3}$ $\xrightarrow{3}$ \xrightarrow{Ar} $\xrightarrow{3}$ $\xrightarrow{3}$ \xrightarrow{Ar} $\xrightarrow{3}$ \xrightarrow{Ar} $\xrightarrow{3}$ \xrightarrow{Ar} $\xrightarrow{3}$ \xrightarrow{Ar} $\xrightarrow{3}$ \xrightarrow{Ar} $\xrightarrow{Ar$

Reagents: (a) NaH, THF, 5-amino-4-bromo-3-methylisoxazole, 0 °C - rt

The IC₅₀ values obtained for this series of thiophenesulfonamides using ¹²⁵I-ET-1 in a competitive radioligand assay for both the cloned human ET_A and ET_B receptors are summarized in Table 1. ¹⁰ As observed in the amide series, the binding affinity was increased by the substitution of the benzene ring at the appropriate position with the methyl substitutent as seen in analogs 33a, 33b, and 33c. This observation was further supported by the similar trend in the regioisomeric analogs 34a, 34b, and 23 and also in the phenethyl analogs 35a-c, and 36a-c. Similarly, *ortho* substitution of the phenyl ring in the methylenedioxy derivative 33d gave 33e, which resulted in reasonable improvement in the ET_A receptor affinity. Similar improvement was displayed by the regioisomeric thiophenesulfonamides 34d vs. 34e and phenethyl analogs 35d vs. 35e and 36d vs. 36e.

Table 1. IC₅₀ Values for the thiophenesulfonamides

O S NH, R	IC ₅₀ (µM)	11.7	3.48	2.09	9.24	8.895	* *	*
of Salar	IC ₅₀	0.106	0.055	0.046	0.205	0.062	*	*
ı	Š	36a	36b	36c	36d	36e		
S O NH R	IC ₅₀ (µM) A ET _B	9.355	4.295	1.395	17.85	12.45	*	* *
	ET _A	0.347	0.102	0.058	0.237	0.091	*	*
1	No	35a	35b	35c	35d	35e		
O NH	A ETB	4.13	2.97	2.00	5.245	3.28	*	*
	ET _A	0.231	0.166	0.044	0.141	0.081	*	*
,	No	34a	34b	23	34d	34e		
ONT NH NH	A ETB	6.635	2.97	1.72	9.955	5.37	4.135	3.24
	ETA	0.936	0.18	0.053	0.218	0.072	0.073	0.079
R = CH ₃	Ar	£ Se	CH ₂	CH3		**************************************	СН2ОТИР	CH20H
<u>r.</u>	Š	33a	33b	33c	33d	33e	33f	33g

** this isomer was not synthesized

944 B. RAJU *et al*.

There was no change in binding affinity in analogs 33f and 33g compared to 33e. The ET_B binding affinity of the thiophenesulfonamides described in this series ranges from 2 to 12 μ M. In general, the binding affinities of cinnamylthiophenesulfonamides and phenethylthiophenesulfonamides are very similar.

In summary, thiophenesulfonamides 33c, 23, 35c and 36c are the best ET_A selective inhibitor (IC₅₀ = ~50 nM) in this series. There was no significant difference in the ETA binding affinity of arylethyl- and aryloxymethylthiophenesulfonamides (35c or 36c vs. 1c), which suggests that the oxygen atom in aryloxymethylthiophenesulfonamides is not a necessary element for highly potent inhibitory activity. Also, there was no significant difference in the ET_{Δ} binding affinity of cinnamylthiophenesulfonamides, which suggests that the conformation alone could not significantly influence the binding affinity. The thiophenesulfonamides described in this series are about 100-fold less potent in their ET. binding affinity 2compared to 2-aryloxycarbonylthiophene-3-sulfonamides arylaminocarbonylthiophene-3-sulfonamides. These observations indicate the relevance of the carbonyl group in 1a and 1b (Figure 1) with respect to both conformational bias and possible interactions with key receptor elements.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank Dr. Timothy P. Kogan and Dr. Richard A. F. Dixon for helpful discussions.

References and Notes

- * Address for correspondence. Phone: (713)796-8822; Fax: (713)796-8232; E-mail: braju@tbc.com
- # Present address: Texas Biotechnology Corporation, 7000 Fannin, Suite 1920, Houston, TX 77030
- 1. Presented in part at the ACS National Meeting, Louisiana, New Orleans, April, 1996, Abstract MEDI 147.
- (a) Yanagisawa, M.; Kurihra, H.; Kimura, S.; Tomobe, Y.; Kobayashi, M.; Mitisui, Y.; Yazaki, Y.; Goto, K.; Masaki, T. Nature (London) 1988, 332, 411;
 (b) Inoue, A.; Yanagisawa, M.; Kimura, S.; Kasuya, Y.; Miyauichi, S.; Goto, K.; Masaki, T. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 2863;
 (c) Shinmi, O.; Kimura, S.; Sawamura, T.; Sugita, Y.; Yoshizawa, T. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1989, 164, 587.
- 3. Wollberg, Z.; Shabo-Shina, R.; Intrator, N.; Bdolah, A.; Kochva, E.; Shavit, G.; Oron, Y.; Vidne, B. A.; Gitter, S. Toxicon 1988, 26, 525.
- 4. Endothelin receptors: From the Gene to the Human; Ruffolo Jr, R. R., Ed.; CRC: Florida, 1995; pp 1-271.
- (a) Arai, H.; Hori, S.; Aramori, I.; Ohkubo, H.; Nakanishi, S. Nature (London) 1990, 348, 730; (b) Sakurai, T.;
 Yanagisawa, M.; Takuwa, Y.; Miyazaki, H.; Kimura, S.; Goto, K.; Masaki, T. Nature (London) 1990, 348, 732; (c)
 Karne, S.; Jayawickreme, C. K.; Lerner, M. R. J. Biol. Chem. 1993, 268, 19126.
- (a) Raju, B.; Wu, C.; Kois, A.; Verner, E. J.; Okun, I.; Stavros, F. D.; Chan, M. F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 2651; (b) Raju, B.; Wu, C.; Castillo, R.; Okun, I.; Stavros, F. D.; Chan, M. F. Abstracts of Papers, 211th National Meeting Of the American Chemical Society, New Orleans, LA; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1996; Abstract MEDI 145; (c) Wu, C.; Raju, B.; Stavros, F. D.; Okun, I.; Castillo, R.; Chan, M. F. J. Med. Chem. submitted; (d) Raju, B.; Okun, I.; Stavros, F. D.; Chan, M. F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. preceding article in this issue; (e) Chan, M. F.; Raju, B.; Kois, A.; Castillo, R. S.; Verner, E. J.; Wu, C.; Hwang, E.; Okun, I.; Stavros, F. D.; Balaji, V. N. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 2393; (f) For reviews see: Walsh, T. F. Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1995, 30, 91; and references cited therein; (g) Battistini, B.; Botting, R. Drugs News and Perspectives. 1995, 8, 365.
- 7. Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. In Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley: New York, 1991; 379.
- 8. Kellog, R. M.; Schapp, A. P.; Harper, E. T.; Wynberg, H.; J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 2902.
- 9. All sulfonamides were characterized by high resolution FAB MS analysis, PMR, IR. The purities of sulfonamides were determined by analytical HPLC on a C18 reverse phase column (250 mm x 4.6 mm) eluting with water and acetonitrile mixture containing 0.1% TFA from 5% CH₃CN to 95% CH₃CN (Linear gradient) over 30 min period.
- (a) Stavros, F. D.; Hasel, K. W.; Okun, I.; Baldwin, J.; Feriks, K. J. Cardivasc. Pharmacol. 1993, 22, S34; (b) Chan, M. F.; Okun, I.; Stavros, F. D.; Hwang, E.; Wolff, M. E.; Balaji, V. N. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1994, 201, 228.; (c) Binding assays were conducted at 24 °C.